# Thomas Jefferson Elementary School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2014-15 School Year Published During 2015-16

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

#### **DataQuest**

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

### **Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## **About This School**

### **Contact Information (Most Recent Year)**

School Contact Info	School Contact Information				
School Name	Thomas Jefferson Elementary				
Street	4285 Jefferson Street				
City, State, Zip	Riverside, CA 92504-2423				
Phone Number	(951) 352-8218				
Principal	Maria Ortega				
E-mail Address	mortega@rusd.k12.ca.us				
Web Site					
<b>Grades Served</b>	P-6				
CDS Code	33-67215-6032668				

District Contact Information				
<b>District Name</b>	Riverside Unified			
Phone Number	(951) 788-7135			
Superintendent	David C. Hansen, Ed.D.			
E-mail Address	dchansen@rusd.k12.ca.us			
Web Site	www.rusd.k12.ca.us			

## School Description and Mission Statement (Most Recent Year)

The Thomas Jefferson Elementary community including administrators, teachers, staff, and parents are committed to providing all students a rigorous Common Core Standards-based Educational Program for academic excellence using effective learning strategies for all students including at-risk, Academic English Learners, gifted and talented, socio-economically disadvantaged and those with special needs, to meet or exceed the federal and state standards. Our goal is to increase student achievement to meet or exceed grade-level standards and to enable students to become responsible, well-educated, successful adults. Preparing students to become successful readers, writers, and mathematicians and able to compete in our diverse global economy is our highest priority for all of our students.

We provide different programs at our school to meet the needs of our students. Programs that are offered at Jefferson are:

- 1. Dual Immersion Program K-12 currently serving the Kindergarten and 1st grade.
- 2. AVID for 3rd through 6th grade.
- 3. Preschool and Head Start programs
- 4. Transitional Kindergarten through Sixth Grade
- 5. HEARTS After School Program for student that apply from Transitional Kindergarten-6th grade
- 6. READ 180 and System 44 as a Reading Intervention Program
- 7. Accelerated Reader -computer based
- 8. First In Math-computer based

### Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2014-15)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	151
Grade 1	116
Grade 2	130
Grade 3	144
Grade 4	126
Grade 5	109
Grade 6	134
Total Enrollment	910

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2014-15)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	4.4
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.3
Asian	1.1
Filipino	0.1
Hispanic or Latino	80.1
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.2
White	11.8
Two or More Races	0.9
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	84.9
English Learners	30.5
Students with Disabilities	8.1
Foster Youth	0.3

# A. Conditions of Learning

## **State Priority: Basic**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

## **Teacher Credentials**

T		District		
Teachers	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2015-16
With Full Credential	38	39	44	1855
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	1
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	0

## **Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions**

Indicator	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

<sup>\*</sup> Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

#### Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2014-15)

Landing of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects					
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers				
This School	100.0	0.0				
All Schools in District	93.6	6.4				
High-Poverty Schools in District	93.3	6.7				
Low-Poverty Schools in District	95.0	5.0				

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

## Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2015-16)

Year and month in which data were collected: 10/2015

Materials Sufficiency Board Meeting Date: October 5, 2015

The table displays information collected in October 5, 2015 about the quality, currency, and availability of the standards-aligned textbooks and other instructional materials used at the school. It was determined that each RUSD school had sufficient and good quality textbooks, instructional materials, or science lab equipment, where appropriate, pursuant to the settlement of Williams vs. the State of California. All students, including English learners, are given their own individual standards-aligned textbooks or instructional materials, or both, in core subjects for use in the classroom and to take home. Textbooks and supplementary materials are adopted according to a 6-year cycle developed by the California Department of Education, making the textbooks used in the school the most current available. Materials approved for use by the State are reviewed by all teachers and a recommendation is made to the School Board by a selection committee composed of teachers and administrators. All recommended materials are available for parent examination at the district office prior to adoption.

Riverside Unified School District adopts instructional materials on a regular schedule based on State adoptions. All students receive appropriate, up-to-date instructional materials for use in the classroom and at home. All materials currently in use have been selected by the staff with parent input, and approved by the Board of Education according to state adoption requirements. Teachers are provided training in the use of new materials.

Comprehensive curriculum (ELA, Math, Science, History-Social Science) Pearson: Opening the World of Learning (OWL) adopted in 2015.

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy	
Reading/Language Arts	Macmillan McGraw-Hill: California Treasures, K-2 (Adopted in 2013) Houghton Mifflin Reading: A Legacy of Literacy, 3-6 (Adopted in 2002) Scholastic - Read 180/System 44 (Adopted in 2010)	Yes	0%	
Mathematics	Pearson Education: enVision Math California Common core 2015, K-6	Yes	0%	
Science	McGraw Hill: California Science, K-6 (Adopted in 2007)	Yes	0%	
History-Social Science	Harcourt: Reflections, K-6 (Adopted in 2006)	Yes	0%	
Visual and Performing Arts	Elementary Music Program and Standards-based Arts Lessons	Yes	0%	

## School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Year Constructed: 1948 Last Modernized: 1999 Lot Size: 10 Acres

34 Permanent Classrooms 12 Portable Classrooms Library/Multi-Purpose Room Indoor and Outdoor Cafeteria Completely Air Conditioned

"Riverside Unified School District maintains both 5 and 15 year major maintenance plans for all schools. These plans are located at the District's Maintenance and Operations Office and are available for review."

Riverside Unified School District has instituted a formal school facility inspection system based on State of California School Facility Condition Criteria. The State criteria consist of 13 building components typically found in school facilities. Jefferson Elementary School completed their school site inspection on 01/20/2016.

Jefferson has a full time and a part-time custodial staff who along with other district personnel maintain the grounds and facilities.

Riverside Unified School District has allocated funds for the sole purpose of school maintenance pursuant to Education Code sections 17002(d), 17014, 17032.5, 17070.75(a), and 17089(b). The information below displays the number of individual maintenance work orders completed in the last 12 months and the assessed value of the work completed.

# of Work Orders =498 Labor Hours = 1,928.83 Assessed Value of Work = \$83,765.95

## School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: 01/20/2016						
Contain less sets d	Repair Status			Repair Needed and		
System Inspected	Good	Fair	Poor	Action Taken or Planned		
<b>Systems:</b> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Х					
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Х					
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Х					
Electrical: Electrical	Х					
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Х					
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Х					
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Х					
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Х					

#### **Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)**

Year and month in which data were collected: 01/20/2016							
a lib ii	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor			
Overall Rating	Х						

# **B. Pupil Outcomes**

## **State Priority: Pupil Achievement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP], Science California Standards
  Tests); and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

## California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results for All Students (School Year 2014-15)

Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School	District	State			
English Language Arts/Literacy	33	43	44			
Mathematics	35 33 33					

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)

Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven (School Year 2014-15)

		Number o	f Students		Percent of Students				
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded	
All Students	3	151	151	100.0	34	33	20	13	
	4	129	129	100.0	38	24	19	19	
	5	114	110	96.5	34	30	24	13	
	6	139	136	97.8	40	35	20	5	
Male	3		79	52.3	44	33	14	9	
	4		64	49.6	36	28	19	17	
	5		49	43.0	39	29	22	10	
	6		61	43.9	48	34	15	3	
Female	3		72	47.7	22	33	26	18	
	4		65	50.4	40	20	18	22	
	5		61	53.5	30	31	25	15	
	6		75	54.0	35	35	24	7	
Black or African American	3		6	4.0					
	4		9	7.0					
	5		5	4.4					
	6		5	3.6					
American Indian or Alaska Native	5		2	1.8					

		Number of	f Students		Percent of Students				
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded	
Asian	3		4	2.6					
	4		2	1.6					
	6		1	0.7					
Hispanic or Latino	3		121	80.1	35	34	21	11	
	4		96	74.4	40	27	19	15	
	5		83	72.8	36	28	27	10	
	6		115	82.7	41	37	17	4	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific	5		1	0.9					
Islander	6		1	0.7					
White	3		17	11.3	24	29	18	29	
	4		16	12.4	25	25	19	31	
	5		16	14.0	25	38	19	19	
	6		11	7.9	45	18	27	9	
Two or More Races	3		1	0.7					
	5		1	0.9					
	6		2	1.4					
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3		125	82.8	35	34	18	13	
	4		113	87.6	39	27	18	17	
	5		95	83.3	36	28	25	11	
	6		121	87.1	45	33	17	5	
Students with Disabilities	3		16	10.6	81	13	6	0	
	4		19	14.7	74	16	0	11	
	5		10	8.8					
	6		12	8.6	92	8	0	0	
Foster Youth	3								
	4								
	5								
	6								

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

## **CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics**

Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven (School Year 2014-15)

Disaggregated by Student Groups, G		Number o	I I			rcent of Stude	nts	
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard	Standard	Standard	Standard
		Enrolled	rested	restea	Not Met	<b>Nearly Met</b>	Met	Exceeded
All Students	3	151	151	100.0	30	27	30	13
	4	129	129	100.0	29	29	29	13
	5	114	111	97.4	41	28	14	18
	6	139	137	98.6	44	31	20	4
Male	3		79	52.3	35	33	20	11
	4		64	49.6	25	28	34	13
	5		50	43.9	38	26	18	18
	6		61	43.9	51	26	20	3
Female	3		72	47.7	25	21	40	14
	4		65	50.4	34	29	23	14
	5		61	53.5	43	30	10	18
	6		76	54.7	38	36	21	5
Black or African American	3		6	4.0				
	4		9	7.0				
	5		5	4.4				
	6		5	3.6				
American Indian or Alaska Native	5		2	1.8				
Asian	3		4	2.6				
	4		2	1.6				
	6		1	0.7				
Hispanic or Latino	3		121	80.1	32	27	29	12
	4		96	74.4	32	29	28	10
	5		84	73.7	42	30	11	18
	6		115	82.7	46	30	18	5
Native Hawaiian or Pacific	5		1	0.9				
Islander	6		1	0.7				
White	3		17	11.3	24	18	41	18
	4		16	12.4	19	38	25	19
	5		16	14.0	31	31	25	13
	6		11	7.9	18	64	18	0
Two or More Races	3		1	0.7				
	5		1	0.9				
	6		2	1.4				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3		125	82.8	30	29	30	12
	4		113	87.6	31	32	27	11
	5		97	85.1	41	29	14	15

		Number o	f Students		Percent of Students				
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded	
	6		122	87.8	48	30	17	5	
Students with Disabilities	3		16	10.6	81	6	6	6	
	4		19	14.7	74	11	5	11	
	5		10	8.8					
	6		12	8.6	100	0	0	0	
Foster Youth	3								
	4								
	5								
	6								

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

## California Standards Tests for All Students in Science (Three-Year Comparison)

Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)										
Subject	School			District State						
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13 2013-14 2014-15			
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	37	53	52	56	60	58	59	60	56	

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## California Standards Tests Results by Student Group in Science (School Year 2014-15)

Student Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced
All Students in the LEA	58
All Students at the School	52
Male	58
Female	48
Black or African American	
American Indian or Alaska Native	
Hispanic or Latino	48
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	
White	66
Two or More Races	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	
English Learners	21
Students with Disabilities	51
Foster Youth	

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

#### California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2014-15)

Grade	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards							
Level	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards Six of Six Standards						
5	23.10	20.40	14.80					

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## C. Engagement

## **State Priority: Parental Involvement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

## **Opportunities for Parental Involvement (Most Recent Year)**

Parents/Guardians are encouraged to attend School Site Council, PTA, Title 1 Advisory Committee, and English Learner Committee meetings monthly. Parent/Guardian workshops are conducted on a regular basis that assist parents in supporting their child's education at home or as classroom volunteers. Parents/Guardians are offered a variety of classes during school hours and in the evening to help them with parenting skills, Family Literacy and understanding the curriculum. Parents/Guardians are encouraged to check the school website or current newsletter to see the current listing of classes that will be offered throughout each month on different topics and/or activities. The monthly calendar that is sent home with the students also is a resource for our parents to see what is being offered here on our site. Parents/Guardians can also take an active role in their child's education through homework monitoring and ongoing communication with the school. Parents/Guardians are encouraged to volunteer in their child's classroom and assist the teacher with learning activities or projects. The parent involvement contact person for this school is Maria Ortega, Principal, and can be contacted at 951-352-8218.

## **State Priority: School Climate**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- · Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

## **Suspensions and Expulsions**

Data		School			District			State		
Rate	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	
Suspensions	3.23	2.96	1.19	4.82	4.50	4.37	5.07	4.36	3.80	
Expulsions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.25	0.18	0.22	0.13	0.10	0.09	

## School Safety Plan (Most Recent Year)

The School Site Council or its delegate is responsible for the development and updating of the school's Comprehensive Safety Plan. A Site Comprehensive Safety Plan Checklist is provided by the Assistant Superintendent of Operations to give guidance on what should be included in the School's Safety Plan. The Safety Plan is discussed with staff, evaluated, amended/reviewed/updated by March 1 of each year. The school's safety committee makes monthly safety inspections. A school Disaster Preparedness Plan that deals with a wide variety of emergency situations is incorporated into the School Safety Plan. Earthquakes, fire, and lockdown drills are conducted as required. The key elements of the Comprehensive School Safety Plan include an assessment of current status of school crime; provisions of any schoolwide dress code including the definition of "gang related apparel"; safe movement of pupils, parents and school employees to and from school; strategies in maintaining a safe and orderly school environment; child abuse reporting procedures; disaster procedures, routine and emergency; policies related to suspensions, expulsion or mandatory expulsion and other school designated serious acts which would lead to suspension or expulsion, notification to teachers, anti-bullying policy and school discipline rules and procedures pursuant to EC 35291 and EC 35291.5. The school also has an assigned School Resource Officer (SRO).

## **D. Other SARC Information**

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

## Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2014-15)

AYP Criteria	School	District	State
Made AYP Overall	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	N/A	N/A	N/A
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	N/A	N/A	N/A
Met Attendance Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Graduation Rate	N/A	Yes	Yes

## Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2015-16)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2005-2006	2007-2008
Year in Program Improvement*	Year 5	Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	22
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	73.3

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

## Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

		2012	2-13		2013-14				2014-15			
Grade	Avg.	Num	ber of Cla	sses	Avg.	Nun	ber of Cla	sses	Avg.	Nun	ber of Cla	sses
Level	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+
К	26		5		27		5		25		6	
1	28		5		28		5		23		5	
2	29		4		29		5		26		5	
3	29		4		28		4		28		5	
4	29	1	2	2	21	2	4		26	1	4	
5	32		3	1	33		1	3	21	1	4	
6	29	1	3	1	28	1	4		28	1	2	2

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2014-15)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	0	0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0	N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0.8	N/A
Psychologist	0.4	N/A
Social Worker	0	N/A
Nurse	0.23	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0	N/A
Resource Specialist	2	N/A
Other	0	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data. One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

## Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2013-14)

		Average		
Level	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	Teacher Salary
School Site	5,562	1,161	4,400	79,199
District	N/A	N/A	\$4,709	\$79,035
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-12.1	-5.8
State	N/A	N/A	\$5,348	\$72,971
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-22.6	2.0

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

## Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

Jefferson ElementarySchool received the following Categorical Program/Supplemental funds which can be used to provide the following services:

\$119,747 Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF): for increased or improved services for Low Income Students, English Learners, or Foster Youth for site goals in alignment with the RUSD Local Control Accountability Plan

\$275,779 Title I: supplemental services and materials to assist students at risk of not meeting state academic standards

### Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2013-14)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$49,695	\$43,165
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$73,295	\$68,574
Highest Teacher Salary	\$95,855	\$89,146
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$119,572	\$111,129
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$126,482	\$116,569
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$137,354	\$127,448
Superintendent Salary	\$239,574	\$234,382
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	41%	38%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	6%	5%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

#### **Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)**

During the 2012-2013 school year, all staff members at Jefferson participated in site staff development training. Many staff members participated in other activities sponsored by the school, district, or through special projects that were appropriate for their individual needs during the Summer of 2012. The major topics covered during the workshops are listed below:

- 1. Rigor of the Standards training presented by the administration
- 2. Review of the Eye On Academic English Learners training provided by the administration
- 3. Differentiated Instruction for Academic English Learners
- 4. AVID Summer Training for 4th-6th grade teachers (Advancement Via Individual Determination)
- 5. AVID Training for each individual grade level during school year
- Math Training provided by the Assistant Principal on Math Concept Wall, the 4 components of the program and rigor of the lesson
- 7. Professional Learning Community meetings weekly
- 8. Staff meetings covering topics on Language Arts, Math, ELD and standards

During the 2013-2014 school year, all staff members at Jefferson participated in site staff development training. Many staff members participated in other activities sponsored by the school, district, or through special projects that were appropriate for their individual needs during the Summer of 2013. The major topics covered during the workshops are listed below. The training occurred during staff meetings, PLC meetings, district workshops or professional development days.

- 1. Training in the use of Treasures Reading Series for Kinder through second grade
- 2. ELD for the Academic English Learners training provided by the adminstration
- 3. Differentiated Instruction for Academic English Learners
- 4. AVID Summer Training for 4th-6th grade teachers (Advancement Via Individual Determination)
- 5. AVID Training for each individual grade level during school year
- 6. Math Training provided on the Math Practice Standards 1, 3, and 6
- 7. Transition to the Common Core Standards -throughout the year
- 8. Close Reading-how to implement
- 9. Professional Learning Community meetings weekly
- 10. Staff meetings covering topics on Language Arts, Math, ELD and transitions to the Common Core Standards

During the 2014-2015 school year, all staff members at Jefferson participated in site and district staff development training. Many staff members participated in other activities and staff development that was appropriate for there grade level and or for the curriculum that they are teaching. The teachers participated in a total of 5 staff development days provided and taught by our district staff developers last year in the areas of language arts and mathematics.

On our site we also covered during staff meeting and PLCs the following:

- 1. Continued Training in the use of Treasures Reading Series for Kindergarten through second grade
- 2. AVID Refresher staff meeting
- 3. Digital Literacy and Digital Citizenship
- 4. Use of technology
- 5. CLOSE Reading-refresher training
- 6. Professional Learning Community meetings weekly to share data and to plan for Units of Study.
- 7. Units of Study-plan and implement
- 8. Number Talks-planning and implementation
- 9. Staff Meetings covered topics on Language Arts, Math, Data, Common Core Standards, Technology and Digital Citizenship.

Teachers work closely with their grade level colleagues to develop their lessons and plan to meet the students needs based on data they collect from Unit tests, DIBELS, and all other assessments.